



**Redevelopment and Financial Consulting**

---

225 Holmfirth Court  
Roseville CA 95661

Phone: (916) 791-8958  
FAX: (916) 791-9234

**ANNUAL REPORT**

*For 2007-08 Fiscal Year*

**1998 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds  
Series A & B**

*Reedley Redevelopment Agency*

Reedley Redevelopment Project

March 2008

**Section A - Introduction**

---

In January 1998, the Reedley Redevelopment Agency issued its 1998 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series A and B (Bonds) in the amount of \$3,860,000. As part of the issuance of the Bonds, the Agency executed a Continuing Disclosure Certificate. The Disclosure Certificate was executed and delivered by the Agency for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriters in complying with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5).

The Disclosure Certificate requires the Agency to file an Annual Report with each National Repository and each State Repository (if any) no later than eight months after the close of the fiscal year. The Annual Report must therefore be filed by March 31 of each year. There are currently no State Repositories in California.

The Annual Report needs to contain or incorporate by reference the following financial information or operating data on the Reedley Redevelopment Project Area (Project Area):

- The ten major secured assesses in the Project Area, including name, type of use, secured value and percent of total value;
- Discussion of any property tax appeals by any of the ten major secured assesses which could have a material adverse effect on Tax Revenues (as defined in the Indenture of Trust dated December 1, 1997);
- Annual tax increment revenues, Tax Revenues and coverage ratio of Tax Revenues to debt service on the Bonds and all Parity Debt (as defined in the Indenture);

The Annual Report must also contain the Audited Financial Statements of the Agency prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

This Annual Report (Report) provides the required information for the Agency's fiscal year ending June 30, 2007 and includes data on annual tax increment revenues for the 2007-08 and future fiscal years. The balance of this Report shows the required financial information and operating data and was provided by Fraser & Associates, the Agency's redevelopment consultant. The Audited Financial Statements are contained in Appendix A of this Annual Report. Sanborn and Sanborn Accountancy Corporation prepared the Agency's Audited Financial Statements.

The original redevelopment plan for the Project Area was adopted in July 1991. The Redevelopment Plan was amended in July 1996 to add territory and extend certain time limits. For the balance of this Report, the original portion of the Project Area is referred to as the Original Area and the territory added in 1996 as the Amended Area.

The value and revenue estimates contained in the following section of this Report are based upon information and data which the Agency believes to be reasonable and accurate. To a certain extent, the estimates of revenue are based on assumptions that are

subject to a degree of uncertainty and variation and therefore are not represented as results that will actually be achieved. However, Fraser & Associates has conscientiously prepared them for the Agency on the basis of their experience in the field of financial analysis for redevelopment agencies.

## **Financial and Operating Data**

---

This section of the Report includes the Top Ten Assesseees and information on assessment appeals in the Project Area. It also includes information on annual tax increment revenues, Tax Revenues and coverage ratios on the Bonds.

### **Top Ten Assesseees**

The Top Ten Assesseees in the Project Area are summarized on Table 1. The table includes the name of each major assessee, the use of the property, the 2007-08 value of the assessee and the percentage each represents to the total value of the Project Area for 2007-08. The taxable value for the Top Ten Assesseees represents 18.15 percent of the total value of the Project Area.

### **Assessment Appeals**

Taxpayers may appeal their property tax assessments. As required for the Annual Report, the Agency obtained information on recently resolved and open appeals for the Top Ten Assesseees. As of the date of this Report, the Agency was not aware of any major appeals outstanding in the Project Area.

### **Annual Tax Increment Revenues**

Table 2 provides information on the annual tax increment revenues of the Project Area for the period 2007-08 through 2016-17. The 2007-08 value of real and other property shown on Table 2 is based on information derived from the records of Fresno County. Real property consists of locally reported secured and unsecured land and improvement values. The other property category includes personal property and state assessed values.

Tax increment generated from the application of the one percent tax rate to incremental taxable value for 2007-08 is estimated at \$2.8 million in the Project. Tax rates in excess of one percent are no longer levied in the Project Area.

The future level of real and other property values has been estimated on Table 2. In December 2007, the State Board of Equalization advised county assessors that the inflation factor to be used to increase real property values for 2007-08 was 2.00 percent. Real property values have been increased based on this inflation factor. The other property category of value shown on the table has been held constant in the projections at the 2007-08 value level.

## **Tax Revenues**

The tax increment revenues of the Project Area are subject to certain adjustments and liens, as described in this section. The adjustments and liens must be paid prior to the payment of debt service on the Bonds.

### *Adjustments to Tax Increment*

There are two adjustments to the tax increment revenues shown on Table 2: property tax administrative fees and allocations pursuant to former Section 33676 of the Community Redevelopment Law.

State law allows counties to charge taxing entities, including redevelopment agencies, for the cost of administering the property tax collection system. The fees have been estimated and shown on Table 2 based on the percentage that the fees represented to total tax increment in 2006-07.

For project areas adopted prior to January 1994, taxing entities could elect to receive additional property taxes above the base year revenue amount so long as they had not entered into a pass through agreement with an agency under former Section 33401 of the Health & Safety Code. Such amounts are calculated by increasing the real property portion of base year values by an inflation factor of up to 2 percent annually. Taxing entities can receive a proportionate share of such revenues if they elected to do so prior to adoption of the redevelopment plan. The City of Reedley elected to receive additional allocations of property taxes generated in the Original Area. Such amounts have been shown on Table 2.

### *Senior Obligations*

#### *Housing Set-Aside*

Redevelopment agencies are required to deposit not less than 20 percent of the tax increment generated in a project area into a special fund to be used for qualified low and moderate income housing programs. The Agency restricted approximately 30 percent of the Series A Bond proceeds to eligible housing activities. A like percentage of bond debt service for the Series A Bonds can therefore be made from the housing set-aside revenues of the Project Area. The Net Housing Set-Aside shown on Table 2 reflects the total housing set-aside less the amount to be used to make bond debt service payments.

#### *Tax Sharing Payments*

The Agency has entered into tax sharing agreements with the County of Fresno and the Fresno County Library District that provide for the Agency to allocate to the County and the Library 100 percent of the County's and Library's share of the taxes attributable to the one-percent increment levy.

The Agency also has an agreement with the Consolidated Mosquito Abatement District that provides for the Agency to allocate to the District an amount equal to .205 percent of the annual net tax increment received by the Agency for each fiscal year. Net tax increment is defined as the Agency's tax increment less property tax administrative costs charged by the County of Fresno.

Pursuant to the CRL, because the Redevelopment Plan was amended to add territory, the Agency is required to make mandatory payments to the affected taxing entities for the amended portion of the Project Area. These payments are included on Table 2 under the heading Amendment Senior Tax Sharing. The tax sharing payments are based on a three tier formula. All payments are made after the Agency's deposit to its housing set-aside.

<b>Tier</b>	<b>Payment Required</b>
Tier 1	25% of total tax increment during the entire term the Agency receives tax increment.
Tier 2	Beginning in the 11th year that the Agency receives tax increment, an additional payment equal to 21% of the tax increment attributable to growth above year 10 levels.
Tier 3	Beginning in the 31st year that the Agency receives tax increment, an additional payment equal to 14% of the tax increment attributable to growth above year 30 levels.

Tier 1 payments are currently being made for the Project Area. Tier 2 payments will begin in 2009-10.

The Agency also has agreements with the Kings Canyon Unified School District, the Fresno County Superintendent of Schools, and the State Center Community College District. Payments under those agreements are subordinate to debt service on the Bonds and have not been shown on Table 2.

After payment of the above, Tax Revenues for 2007-08 are estimated at \$1,218,000.

### **Tax Revenues and Coverage**

Table 3 provides information on Tax Revenues and coverage based on Maximum Annual Debt Service (MADS) for the Bonds. As shown on Table 3, Tax Revenues are projected to provide coverage at 430 percent of MADS. The Agency has not issued any tax allocation bonds that are on parity with the 1998 Bonds.

Table 1  
 Reedley Redevelopment Agency  
 Reedly Redevelopment Project

**TEN MAJOR PROPERTY TAX ASSESSEES FOR 2007-08**

Assessee	Type of Use	Secured	Unsecured	Total Value	%of Total Value (2)
1) Gerawan Farming Inc.	Industrial	\$17,937,539	\$5,635,300	\$23,572,839	4.44%
2) Ito Packing Company Inc.	Industrial	22,954,754	0	22,954,754	4.32%
3) Otani Properties	Industrial	12,973,780	0	12,973,780	2.44%
4) Meadowbrook Reedley	Residential	7,398,825	0	7,398,825	1.39%
5) Reedley Center Inc.	Commercial	7,123,490	0	7,123,490	1.34%
6) Reedley Properties	Commercial	6,399,558	0	6,399,558	1.21%
7) Bravante Curci Investors Limited Partnership	Commercial	5,029,420	0	5,029,420	0.95%
8) Theodore M. & Audrey R. Haas	Industrial	3,761,533	0	3,761,533	0.71%
9) MD Ventures	Residential	3,605,480	0	3,605,480	0.68%
10) Longs Drug Stores California Inc.	Commercial	3,532,200	0	3,532,200	0.67%
<b>Total Valuation</b>		<b>90,716,579</b>	<b>5,635,300</b>	<b>96,351,879</b>	<b>18.15%</b>

(1) Based on ownership of locally-assessed secured and unsecured property.

(2) Based on 2007-08 Project Area taxable value of \$530,852,805.

Table 2  
 Reedley Redevelopment Agency  
 Reedly Redevelopment Project

**PROJECTION OF INCREMENTAL TAX REVENUE**  
 (000's Omitted)

Fiscal Year	Total Real (1) Property	Other (2) Property	Total Value	Value Over Base Of \$251,053	Total Tax (3) Increment	33676 (4) Adjustment	Property Tax Admin. Fees (5)	Net Housing Set-Aside	Original Senior Tax (6) Sharing	Amendment Senior Tax (7) Sharing	Tax Revenues
2007 - 2008	\$499,734	\$31,119	\$530,853	\$279,800	\$2,798	\$156	\$33	\$463	\$879	\$49	\$1,218
2008 - 2009	509,728	31,119	540,847	289,794	2,898	166	34	482	911	51	1,253
2009 - 2010	519,923	31,119	551,042	299,989	3,000	178	35	500	943	54	1,290
2010 - 2011	530,321	31,119	561,441	310,388	3,104	189	36	518	976	57	1,327
2011 - 2012	540,928	31,119	572,047	320,994	3,210	200	38	537	1,010	60	1,364
2012 - 2013	551,746	31,119	582,865	331,812	3,318	212	39	557	1,044	64	1,402
2013 - 2014	562,781	31,119	593,900	342,847	3,428	224	40	575	1,079	67	1,443
2014 - 2015	574,037	31,119	605,156	354,103	3,541	236	42	596	1,114	71	1,482
2015 - 2016	585,518	31,119	616,637	365,584	3,656	249	43	617	1,151	74	1,522
2016 - 2017	597,228	31,119	628,347	377,294	3,773	262	44	637	1,188	78	1,565

- (1) Prior Year Real Property increased by 2 percent per year.
- (2) Includes the value of secured and unsecured personal property, and state-assessed railroad and non-unitary property. Other property held constant in the projections.
- (3) Based on the application of 1% tax rate to incremental taxable value.
- (4) Allocation per former Section 33676 of the CRL to the City.
- (5) Per SB 2557, reflects Project Area share of County's property tax administrative costs.
- (6) Reflects senior tax sharing payments for the Original Area.
- (7) Reflects AB 1290 payments for the Amendment Area.

Table 3  
 Reedley Redevelopment Agency  
 Reedly Redevelopment Project

**PROJECTED TAX REVENUES AND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE**

(000's Omitted)

<u>Fiscal</u> <u>Year</u> <u>Ending</u>	<u>Tax</u> <u>Revenues</u>	<u>Maximum</u> <u>Annual</u> <u>Debt Service</u>	<u>Coverage</u>
2008	\$1,218	\$283	430%
2009	1,253	283	443%
2010	1,290	283	456%
2011	1,327	283	469%
2012	1,364	283	482%
2013	1,402	283	496%
2014	1,443	283	510%
2015	1,482	283	524%
2016	1,522	283	538%
2017	1,565	283	553%

(1) Reflects Tax Revenues from Table 2.

**APPENDIX**

**AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**